

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/509,549	TOKAI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Fiona T. Powers.

(3) _____.

 (2) Richard Barth.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Barth to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if claim 11 were amended by deleting "alkoxyalkyl" from the definition of R5 and inserting A2-R6. It was agreed that claim 11 would be amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment..